

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,959	08/20/2003	John A. Menges	2102.0020001	4979
26111 7	590 09/23/2005	EXAMINER		
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MIGGINS, MICHAEL C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1772	
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/643,959	MENGES ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Miggins	1772				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	<u>ine 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o/ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/05, 6/7/05.	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,959

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Comments/Response to Amendment

The Ex parte Quayle and notice of allowable subject matter of 4/5/05 is hereby withdrawn in favor of the rejections set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of JP 2000335655 (English translation of abstract provided herein).

The admitted prior art discloses a cook and chill casing comprising a substantially rectangular bag having corners and formed from a plastic, tubular material, said bag having a food-receiving interior open at a first end and sealed at a second end, wherein said sealed end comprising a heat seal joining opposing sides of said bag (see instant specification pages 1-2, paragraphs [0002] – [0007]) (applies to instant claims 1 and 8).

The difference between the instant claims and the admitted prior art is that the admitted prior art fails to disclose a tapered seal that separates two corners of said

Application/Control Number: 10/643,959

Art Unit: 1772

tubular material from said interior and wherein said two corners provide handles for lifting said bag.

JP 2000335655 discloses a tapered seal (3 from Fig. 2) that separates two corners of said tubular material from said interior and wherein said two corners provide handles for lifting said bag (see English abstract and Fig. 2) in a casing for cooked food storage for the purpose of providing a casing that can be heated safely and contents removed simply (applies to instant claims 1-2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention to have provided a tapered seal that separates two corners of said tubular material from said interior and wherein said two corners provide handles for lifting said bag in the invention of the admitted prior art in order to provide a casing that can be heated safely and contents removed simply as taught or suggested by JP 2000335655.

With regards to claim 7, it has been found that finding the workable or optimal range for a result effective variable such as thickness is obvious and well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art (MPEP 2144). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the recited thickness in order to save on costs or improve mechanical properties such as stiffness.

3. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of JP 2000335655 (English translation of abstract provided

Application/Control Number: 10/643,959

Art Unit: 1772

herein), as applied to claims 1-2 and 7-8 above, and further in view of JP 07237675 (English translation of abstract provided herein).

The term "co-extruded" is a method limitation which is given little patentable weight since method claims are not germane to the patentability of a product in a product claims (MPEP 2113) (applies to instant claim 3).

The difference between the instant claims and the admitted prior art is that the admitted prior art fails to disclose wherein the tubular material comprises a three layer plastic film having one layer of nylon, one layer of polyethylene and an adhesive.

JP 07237675 discloses a tubular material comprises a three layer plastic film having one layer of nylon, one layer of polyethylene and an adhesive (since polyethylene and nylon are laminated, lamination involves heat and pressure with adhesive, English abstract) (applies to instant claims 3 and 5) in a casing for storing food for the purpose of providing food to be simply cooked by boiling or microwaving with a flavoring.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's was made to have provided wherein the tubular material comprising a three layer plastic film having one layer of nylon, one layer of polyethylene and an adhesive in the invention of the prior art in order to provide food which can be simply cooked by boiling or microwaving with a flavoring as taught or suggested by JP 07237675.

With regard to claims 4 and 6, it has been found that finding the workable or optimal range for a result effective variable such as thickness is obvious and well within the level of one of ordinary skill in the art (MPEP 2144). It would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 1772

one of ordinary skill in the art to have provided the recited thickness in order to save on costs or improve mechanical properties such as stiffness.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Miggins whose telephone number is 571-272-1494. The examiner can normally be reached on 1:00-10:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Miggins Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772 Anhale. Ly

MCM September 16, 2005